110 472 (Nov. 11110) Order of Determining Trial	
fo	The INCLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.
Eastern Distric	t of New York ★ SEP 11 2024 ★
United States of America)
v.)) Case No. 23-cr-293
Adam Kaplan) Case No. 20 0/250
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETENT	ION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibi	lity for Detention
Upon the	
	t to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own r	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following conditions have a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of	
(i) a win on victime (ii) the massession of a	
***	Firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) abov	
committed while the defendant was on release	pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial			
☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a			
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:			
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);			
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;			
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;			
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of			
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or			
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.			
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above			
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)			
OR			
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.			
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:			
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.			
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:			
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong			
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted			
Prior criminal history			
Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision			
☐ History of violence or use of weapons			
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse			
☐ Lack of stable employment			
☐ Lack of stable residence			
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties			

AO 472 (Re	ev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER	REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	9/11/24	/s/ Joan M. Azrack	
	(/11/-/	United States District Judge	